## CONVERSION TABLE

Multiply inch-pound units

To obtain metric SI units

gallon per minute (gal/min)

meter (m)

0.3048 liter per second (L/s) 0.0631

Micrograms per liter ( $\mu g/L$ ) and milligrams per liter (mg/L) are standard reporting

### ABSTRACT

The U.S. Geological Survey, in cooperation with the Fairbanks North Star Borough, is conducting a study of the geohydrology of the Fairbanks area. This study, begun in 1975, is designed to provide basic hydrologic data for land-use planning. Studies to date (1981) indicate that arsenic, nitrate, iron, and hardness in well water are concerns of local homeowners and planners. Arsenic and nitrate in water can affect human health, whereas iron and hardness can be aesthetically objectionable and can also impair plumbing systems.

This report represents all the arsenic, nitrate, iron, and hardness data collected in the Chena Hot Springs Road - Steele Creek Road - Gilmore Trail area [township one north (T. 1 N.), range one east (R. 1 E.), Fairbanks meridian] through October 1981. Two previous reports were compilations of all the data collected in the Fairbanks area (Johnson and others, 1978), and the Chena Ridge area (Krumhardt, 1980).

Within the study area, concentrations of arsenic ranged from 0 to 5,100 micrograms per liter, nitrate ranged from 0 to 53 milligrams per liter, iron ranged from 0 to 50 milligrams per liter, and hardness ranged from 12 to 1,000 milligrams per liter. For public water supplies, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency recommends a limit of 50 micrograms per liter arsenic, 10 milligrams per liter nitrate, and 0.3 milligrams per liter iron. No recommended limit has been set for hardness.

### INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Geological Survey, in cooperation with the Fairbanks North Star Borough, is conducting a study of the geohydrology of the Fairbanks area. This study, begun in 1975, is designed to provide basic hydrologic data for land-use

Arsenic, nitrate, iron, and hardness in well water are the greatest concerns to homeowners and planners. Arsenic and nitrate in water can affect human health whereas iron and hardness can be aesthetically objectionable and can also impair plumping systems.

This is the third map report to be published in cooperation with the Fairbanks North Star Borough describing water-quality data collected in the Fairbanks area. The first, by Johnson and others (1978) was a compilation of all the arsenic, nitrate, iron, and hardness data collected by various agencies through September 1978. Additional data collected by the U.S. Geological Survey in the Chena Ridge area through June 1979 were published in a second map report (Krumhardt, 1980). This map report presents all the arsenic, nitrate, iron, and hardness data collected through October 1981 in the Gilmore Trail - Steele Creek Road - Chena Hot Springs Road areas [township 1 north (T. 1 N.), range 1 east (R. 1 E.), Fairbanks meridian]. The data should not be used in place of sampling and analysis in the determination of water quality of unsampled wells or areas. However, the presence of contaminated wells in an area indicates that nearby wells also have a chance of being contaminated.

Data collection for this study was coordinated through a well inventory program. Samples were obtained only through solicitation of homeowners or at their request; therefore not all wells in the area were sampled. Additionally, sample sites tend to be concentrated in the areas of greatest development and are sparse in areas of little or no development.

At each site, the following determinations were made: temperature, pH, bicarbonate alkalinity, and specific conductance. Additionally, field tests for iron and nitrate were performed. Samples for iron or nitrate analysis were collected only if the field tests indicated that the levels of iron or nitrate may be above U.S. Environmental Protection Agency standards. Samples for arsenic and hardness were routinely collected during each site visit. Depths to static water level were also measured where possible. All data collected during this study are on file at the U.S. Geological Survey office in Fairbanks.

### Physiography and Geology

The study area lies along the southern edge of northwest-trending hills known as Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 1979, Water quality standards: the Yukon-Tanana uplands. These uplands are bordered by the Tanana River valley to the south and the Yukon River valley to the north. The headwaters of Steele Creek, Columbia Creek, Engineer Creek, and Isabella Creek are all located within the study area.

The uplands are composed primarily of a pelitic schist (metamorphosed marine mud deposit) and micaceous quartzite bedrock (Péwé and others, 1976). Blanketing the hills is an eolian (wind-blown) silt, or loess, originating from the Tanana River flood plain during the Quaternary period. This silt cover is thin or nonexistent at the ridgetops and gradually thickens downslope. Additionally, the silt cover is thickest on the hills adjacent to the Tanana River flood plain and gradually thins to little or no silt cover on hills to the north. On the lower slopes and valley floors, the loess has been reworked and is locally referred to as muck. This muck is perenially frozen and in places has a high ice content. It commonly overlies coarse gravel in the creek valley bottoms but rests directly on the bedrock on the

## Uplands Hydrology

In the uplands, arbitrarily defined as those portions of the hills above an altitude of 500 ft, ground water is transmitted primarily through fractures and faults within the bedrock. Yields are generally low, often less than 5 gal/min, although drillers have reported yields as high as 15 gal/min from some wells. Recharge to the bedrock aquifer is local, occurring primarily at the ridgetops where the silt cover is thin or nonexistent. Gravel, found in the creek valleys in the uplands does not yield significant amounts of ground water.

On the lower slopes and valley bottoms, permafrost can act as a natural barrier permitting neither discharge from, nor recharge to, an aquifer. Where the ground water is confined by permafrost, water under a sufficient hydraulic head may flow at the surface through wells or other openings such as springs or seeps. This is known as the artesian zone. A few homeowners in the study area have successfully controlled this natural flow and incorporated it into the household plumbing systems. However, many drillers refuse to drill in the artesian zone because of the high risk of uncontrolled flow in the annular space around the casing. To regain control of the flow is often extremely difficult, if not impossible, and can be very costly.

# CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS

# Arsenic

Arsenic concentrations found to date in the ground water of the study area have ranged from 0 to 5,100  $\mu g/L$ . The maximum recommended limit set by the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation (1979) and EPA (1976a) is 50  $\mu g/L$ . Thirteen percent of the wells sampled exceeded this limit.

A dose of 70,000 µg, or less than 1 ounce, of pure arsenic is toxic to humans (Luh and others, 1973). The toxic level may vary with the tolerance of the individual and probably depends on the chemical form of the arsenic (National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, 1972). Smaller quantities taken over a long period of time can accumulate in the body and produce chronic or acute arsenic poisoning. Varied symptoms of arsenic poisoning include fatigue, skin growths, nervous system disturbances, and gastrointestinal, kidney, and liver ailments. In Taiwan, the consumption of water containing more than 300  $\mu g/L$  arsenic has been correlated with increased incidences of skin cancer.

The primary source of arsenic and the mechanisms by which it enters ground water in the Fairbanks area have not been conclusively demonstrated. However, mineralized bedrock in the Fairbanks area commonly contains an arsenic-bearing mineral, arsenopyrite (FeAsS). Scorodite (FeASO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O), a mineral formed by the weathering of arsenopyrite, may also be a source of arsenic within the bedrock. The distribution of wells containing water having arsenic concentrations above the recommended limit is sporadic. Additionally, arsenic-contaminated wells and wells in which water contains little arsenic are only a few hundred feet apart in many places. This may be caused by the presence of two or more zones within the aquifer bearing water having different concentrations of arsenic (Nelson, 1978). The concentration of arsenic in the well water depends on which zone is tapped. If two or more zones are tapped by a well, the arsenic concentrations may vary with the yield of each zone. The concentrations of arsenic may also vary with the duration of pumping, the settling time in a pressure tank, and precipitation reactions in the water. Arsenic dissolved in water is colorless, odorless, and tasteless, and is not removed by water treatment systems commonly used by home-

# Nitrate

Water sampled in the study area had nitrate [as nitrogen (N)] concentrations ranging from 0 to 53 mg/L. The recommended limit set by EPA (1976b) for nitrate as N in domestic water supplies is 10 mg/L. Fourteen percent of the water samples tested for nitrate exceeded the maximum recommended limit.

The element nitrogen (N) occurs in ground water in many forms, including nitrate  $(NO_3)$ , nitrite  $(NO_2)$ , and ammonium  $(NH_4)$ . Nitrogen is most commonly found in ground water as nitrate  $(NO_3)$ . Nitrate is colorless and odorless, and is not removed by conventional water treatment systems. In excessive amounts nitrate can cause water to taste bitter (Miller, 1980). Most samples analyzed at the U.S. Geological Survey central laboratory were analyzed for the sum of nitrate plus nitrite as nitrogen. The results may be reported as NO, or N. To convert a NO, value to its equivalent as N divide by 4.4. In this report, all nitrate values are reported as N.

Ingestion of water containing more than 10~mg/L of nitrate may be related to hypertension, cancer, and in some infants under 3 months of age, potentially fatal anemia. Bacterial conversion of the nitrate ion to the nitrite ion may occur in the digestive tract of infants less than 3 months of age (National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, 1972). Nitrite absorbed into the bloodstream combines with the hemoglobin, reducing the oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood. The result, called methemoglobinemia, or most commonly "blue baby", is oxygen starvation and is 'a condition which can be recognized and reversed. However, many infants have consumed water containing more than 10 mg/L nitrate with no adverse effects. Factors which may affect susceptibility to nitrate poisoning include heredity, the ingestion of nitrate-rich vegetables and vitamin C-rich foods, and the use of certain medications which affect nitrate metabolism.

Metamorphic minerals such as those in bedrock in the Fairbanks area do not contain nitrates. Thus, in the Fairbanks area nitrate in the ground water probably originates near the surface where septic tank effluents, fertilizers, or natural soil nitratés are possible sources.

The occurrences of high nitrate concentrations in ground water in the area are unpredictable. Until the source of nitrate and the areas affected by high nitrate concentrations are better known, all homeowners using private wells should be aware of the potential health hazard.

Iron concentrations in the study area ranged from 0 to 50 mg/L. The EPA recommended limit of 0.3 mg/L is based on aesthetic considerations rather than health effects. The recommended limit was exceeded in 80 percent of the wells sampled. However, 58 percent of the wells sampled contained less than 1 mg/L. Twentyfive percent contained iron in concentrations from 1 to 3 mg/L, and 17 percent contained iron in concentrations over 3 mg/L.

Small amounts of iron create an unpleasant taste or odor in water and cause staining of laundry, appliances, and hair. Staining caused by water with iron concentrations of less than 1 mg/L can be effectively controlled by cleaning agents and bleaches. At concentrations between 1 and 3 mg/L, water may become unpalatable, and staining becomes more difficult to control. Water containing more than 3 mg/L of iron is aesthetically unpleasant to many people, turns alcohol and coffee black, and at this concentration staining is difficult to control without the use of iron filters. When iron-rich water is oxygenated as it is in home water systems, the iron precipitates and causes iron stains. Iron-removal systems which oxidize household water and filter the iron residue are commercially available. Based on these considerations, three ranges of concentrations are portrayed on the map: less than 1 mg/L, 1 to 3 mg/L, and more than 3 mg/L.

Generally, iron concentrations increase downslope. This increase may result from exposure of percolating ground water to buried organic debris in the hillsides and upper creek valleys. It also may result from inorganic oxidation reactions occuring as the water moves from the recharge areas towards the valleys.

The decomposition of organic matter in soils consumes oxygen from ground water and produces oxygen-deficient environments in which iron is more readily dissolved. Such conditions are common in the alluvium of the Tanana River flood plain and in creek valley bottoms. Iron concentrations in these areas are almost always more than 1 mg/L and usually more than 3 mg/L.

Certain bacteria speed the oxidation and precipitation of iron (Hem, 1970). These bacteria live in many wells and cause some of the iron to precipitate before it reaches the plumbing outlet. They produce a jelly-like slime that can eventually plug the pore space in the aquifer near the wells and slow the influx of water. Fragments from these bacterial growths can break loose and plug pipes and filters. Heavy chlorination of the wells at frequent intervals minimizes the development of iron bacteria: however, once the bacteria are established in an aquifer near a well, there is no known effective method of removing or destroying them.

### Hardness

Hardness of ground-water samples taken from the study area ranged from 12 to 1,000 mg/L. Hardness was more than 200 mg/L in 69 percent of the wells sampled and less than 100 mg/L in only 13 percent.

Hem (1970) stated that waters containing less than 100 mg/L hardness are generally acceptable for domestic use although the optimum amount is a matter of consumer preference. At levels above about 200 mg/L, hardness becomes evident in all uses. The EPA provides no recommended maximum or minimum levels of concentration for hardness of water. In light of Hem's observations, three ranges of hardness are portrayed on the map: less than 100  $\rm mg/L\,,\ 100\ to\ 200\ mg/L\,,\ and$ more than 200 mg/L.

Hardness of water is caused mainly by the presence of dissolved calcium and magnesium and is expressed as an equivalent quantity of calcium carbonate. Hard water not only retards the cleaning action of soap and detergents, but can also form scale on cookware, in water heaters, and in boilers. However, the hardness of water can be controlled by commercially available softeners. Hard water may also have some beneficial effects. In the United States, a tentative correlation has been suggested between areas of hard water and areas where the death rate from cardiovascular diseases is lower than average (Muss, 1962).

The hardness of ground water depends on the length of time the water has been in contact with minerals, the nature of the minerals, and the corrosiveness (acidity) of the water. Calcite or calcium carbonate (CaCO2), a common mineral in the bedrock of the Fairbanks area, is particularly susceptible to attack by acidic water and may contribute to the hardness of local ground water.

### REFERENCES CITED

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation [Alaska Water Control Program], 34 p.

Hem, J. D., 1970, Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural water: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1473, 363 p. Johnson, P. R., Wilcox, D. E., Morgan, W. D., Merto, Josephine, and McFadden, Ruth, 1978, Arsenic, nitrate, iron, and hardness in ground water, Fairbanks area, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 78-1034, 2 sheets. Krumhardt, A. P., 1980, Arsenic, nitrate, iron, and hardness in ground water, Chena Ridge vicinity, Fairbanks, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File

Report 80-49, 2 sheets. Luh, M. D., Baker, R. A., and Henley, D. E., 1973, Arsenic analysis and toxicity - A review: Science of the Total Environment, v. 2, no. 1, p. 1-12. Miller, D. W., editor, 1980, Waste disposal effects on ground water: Berkeley,

Calif., Premier Press, 511 p. Muss, D. L., 1962, Relationship between water quality and deaths from cardiovascular disease: American Water Works Association Journal, v. 54, p.

1371-1378. National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, 1972 [1974], Water quality criteria, 1972: U.S. Government Printing Office, 594 p. Nelson, G. L., 1978, Packer tests identify arsenic-producing zones in bedrock

wells (abs): Ground Water, v. 16, no. 5, p. 363. Péwé, T. L., Bell, J. W., Forbes, R. B., and Weber, F. R., 1976, Geologic map of the Fairbanks D-2 SE quadrangle, Alaska: U.S. Geological Survey Miscel-

laneous Investigations Map I-942, scale 1:24,000. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976a, National interim primary drinking water regulations: Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Supply, EPA-570/9-76-003, 159 p. 1976b [1977], Quality criteria for water: U.S. Government Printing Office,

PUBLICATIONS RELATING TO WATER-QUALITY REGULATIONS AND CRITERIA

Mandatory Limits - Primary drinking water regulations

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976, National interim primary drinking water regulations: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water Supply, EPA-570/9-76-003, 159 p.

# Recommended Limits - Secondary drinking water regulations

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1977, National secondary drinking water regulations: Federal Register, v. 42, no. 62, Thursday, March 31, 1977, p. 17143-17147.

# Water-quality criteria

National Academy of Sciences, National Academy of Engineering, 1972 [1974], Water quality criteria, 1972: U.S. Government Printing Office, 594 p. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1976 [1977], Quality criteria for water:

U.S. Government Printing Office, 256 p.

## State water-quality standards Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, 1979, Water quality standards:

Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation [Alaska Water Control Program], 34 p.

## CHEMICAL ANALYSES

a = field determination using Hach\* test kit NI-12; b = field determination using Hach\* test kit IR-18B; c = estimated from field bicarbonate alkalinity; d = arsenic and nitrate sample collected between February 1976 and September

\* The use of brand names in this report does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey

Locat	ion	Arsenic (As) (µg/L)	Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> as N) (mg/L)	Iron (Fe) (mg/L)	Hardness (as CaCO <sub>3</sub> ) (mg/L)	Sampling date
Sec.	3	 <1 <1	<0.1 0.2 0.2			10/71 d
		< 1 < 1 2 4	0.2  0.4 0.3			d d d d
Sec.		3	a 0	b 3.4	c < 100	09/21/76
Sec.	9	1 1 2	0.1 a 0	b2.5	c < 100	d 09/29/76
		3 4 5 0	0.29 a 0 a 0 a 0	b < 1 b < 1 b1.4 b < 1	c < 100 $c < 100$ $c < 100$ $c < 100$	10/26/76 10/26/76 11/03/76 d
Sec	. 11	6	0.11 0.23	0.9	120 120	09/18/81 10/28/81
Sec.		2	a 0	0.06	46	08/04/81
Sec.	. 15	150 6 15	a 0 a 0 a 0	2.6 0.04 4.7	250 110 78	08/04/81 08/10/81 08/11/81
Sec.	16	2 2	a 2 a 0	1.3	23	08/13/81 08/05/81
			0.2 0.3 < 0.1		·	11/73 11/73 11/73
		6 1	0.5 0.4 0.4 0.5			07/71 07/71 d d
Sec.	17	7 12	0.2			d d
		32	1.6 15 			04/73 06/72 d
		49 54 2				d d d 09/27/76
		18  250 60	a 0 a 0 a 0 a 0	b 1.8 37 b < 1 32	c 200 c > 200 c > 200 c > 200	09/27/76 09/27/76 10/28/76 11/02/76
		< 1 300 50	5.2 1.9	 b.2.5 0.84	c > 200 c > 200	$d \\ 01/19/77 \\ 03/17/77$
Sec.	18	150 300	1.7		c >200	07/21/77 07/21/77
		5,100 0  10	a 2	b 3 b 1.5	c > 200 c 100	$d \\ 09/29/76 \\ 11/22/76 \\ d$
		115 < 1 380				d d d
		150  2 31	a 0	9	c < 100 	d 11/22/76 d d
		31 2 0 < 1	0.10 4.6	b 2 1.6	c > 200 c > 200	01/18/77 04/06/76 d
Sec.	19		12 2.3			04/73 08/31/73
		5  5	6.2 1.4 0.4	2.0	230	09/71 07/71 08/72 d
		3 17	0.4 23			08/26/75 d, 06/72 10/72
		130	a 2 1.4	0.54	270 c > 200	d 08/23/79 03/28/77
		$\begin{matrix} 6\\13\\0\\1\end{matrix}$	$0 \\ 2.1 \\ 1.8 \\ 1.3$	b 2.3 b < 1 b < 1 b < 1	c > 200 c > 200 c 200 c 200	03/28/77 04/05/77 03/29/77 03/29/77
Sec.	20	17	a 0 1.7	b < 1 4.8	310	03/28/77 09/26/79
			0.0 0.2 0.6	26  0.16	76   271	06/25/49 03/05/74 12/72 12/22/54
		  5	0.3 2.4 1.0			12/72 12/72 06/72
		< 1 	12 15			05/72 
		2 0 0 3	0.52 a0 13 a<1	b < 1 0.36 b < 1 b < 1	200  c>200 230	03/07/80 03/16/77 04/13/77 02/27/80
		1 10 5	a 3 1 3 a 1	b < 1 b 1.5 b < 1	320 c > 200 170	02/29/80 02/14/80 02/29/80
Sec.	21	1	a 1 a 1 0 . 09	b 1.5 $b < 1$ $0.04$	270 55	02/20/80 03/11/80 08/31/54
		1 130 9	a 1 a 0 a 0	0.04 0.00 1.2 0.03	160 170 120	08/05/81 08/06/81 08/13/81
Sec.	22	2 11	a 0 a 0	1.2	150 140	08/06/81 09/24/81
		1 1 1	17.2 a0 a1 a4	0.93 b<1 b<1 b<1	445 560 150	03/02/65 02/20/80 04/05/80 08/13/81
Sec.	24	0 2	a 0 a 0	0.26 0.41	320 420	09/17/81 10/07/81
		1 160 1	a1 8.5	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.14 \\ 7.3 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.53 \end{array} $	124 580 340 470	05/09/74 07/03/81 07/28/81 07/30/81
Sec.	25	1 1 1	a 0 21 a 0	0.53 0.31 0.18	510 200	07/30/81 09/17/81 09/17/81
		 1 2	1.0 8.0 5.0	0.01 0.76 0.16	390 410 190	08/30/54 10/08/81 10/14/81
Sec.		1 110	3.5 a0	<i>b</i> 3 50	230 520	10/13/81
Sec	. 27	6 0 0	a 2 a < 1 a 2	14 b1.5 b<1	410 440 400	10/03/79 10/18/79 10/18/79
Sec		400	a 1 11	0.48	410 530	02/01/80 07/28/79
Sec	. 29	1 36 0	$\begin{smallmatrix}13\\a1\\1.2\end{smallmatrix}$	0.15 10 0.16	430 530 330	07/28/79 08/13/79 06/25/79
		0 1 1	5.6 0.04 2	b<1 0.90 b<1	340 490 300	06/23/79 06/23/79 02/28/79
Sec	. 30	27	a 1 a < 1	0.30 b3	490 340	08/13/79 10/03/79 04/70
		3 	0.1 <0.1 a2 15	4.7	720	08/08/72 05/10/79 04/70
			$\begin{smallmatrix}20\\2.2\\0.07\end{smallmatrix}$	1.7	 360	06/72 10/72 09/30/66
		0 2 	18 0.00 <0.1 27	0.03 b<1  0.02	490 520  580	01/07/76 07/28/79 06/72 06/22/71
			6.3 3 21	5.2 	720 	$04/20/71 \\ 04/70 \\ 04/70$
		13	$\begin{smallmatrix}0.1\\25\\0.07\end{smallmatrix}$	1.4	630	10/72 06/72 06/28/79
		3  0	0.16 48 3.9	16 0.10 1.8 b<1	780 582 340 610	05/23/79 06/27/68 05/10/79 05/18/79
		0 0 0	3.3 1.7 a<1 1.8	b < 1 b < 1  b < 1	490  420	05/18/79 04/07/80 05/23/79
		0 1 2	2.6 23 0.91	0.10 b<1 0.84	640 650 330	05/23/79 06/06/79 06/06/79
	c. 31	1	a 1	0.34	290	10/07/81